

Public consultation on the past, present and future of the European Research & Innovation Framework programmes 2014-2027

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

INTRODUCTION

This consultation aims to collect the views of research institutions, businesses, consumer organisations, as well as non-governmental organisations, public authorities, and other stakeholders on the European Union Framework Programmes (FP) for Research & Innovation, **Horizon 2020** (8th FP) and **Horizon Europe** (9th FP).

The consultation has **five sections**:



Section A "About you" asks information about the respondent's profile.

- Section B focuses on the past programme Horizon 2020 (2014 2020) and aims to collect feedback and evidence to draw lessons from the past. This part of the consultation will feed into the ex-post evaluation of Horizon 2020.
- Section C focuses on the current programme Horizon Europe (2021 2027) and aims to take stock of what stakeholders are currently experiencing to possibly adapt current actions. This part of the consultation will feed into the mid-term evaluation of Horizon Europe, covering the period 2021 2023.
- Section D collects views and opinions for the upcoming Strategic Plan of Horizon Europe (2025 2027). The questionnaire concerns the future societal challenges that should shape future EU research and innovation activities, the strengths and weaknesses of the European R&I system, EU Missions, European Partnerships and synergies with other EU programmes.
- Section E asks about the key lessons learned and messages for the future. If you wish so, you can upload a position paper in this section.

You can choose to **complete only one or more sections** of the consultation.


You can save your contribution as a draft on the server and continue later or on a different day.

As the consultation looks at the **past**, **the present and the future of European Research Framework Programmes**, some topics are addressed under multiple sections.

For example, both section B (Horizon 2020) and section C (Horizon Europe) examine how the programmes contributed (or is contributing) to climate, health, digital and industrial transitions. Likewise, questions on the programme's implementation and administrative procedures are asked in the two sections to account for the simplification measures introduced in Horizon Europe.



Your answers will be **treated confidentially** and will be statistically processed. **The results will be anonymised and presented at an aggregate level** only in a report that will be published in the "Have your say" web portal.



Please select your **language** preference in on top of the page before starting the survey.

Need help? Please contact: RTD-PUBLIC-CONSULTATION-HORIZON@ec.europa.eu

SECTION A. ABOUT YOU

- *Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian

0

	Gzech
	Danish
	Dutch
	English
	Estonian
0	Finnish
	French
	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Irish
	Italian
0	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
0	Business association
0	Company/business organisation
	Consumer organisation
0	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen
0	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
	Public authority
	Trade union
0	Other

Are you providing your personal views or the views of an institution/organisation?					
Personal views					
Views of an institution / organisation					
*First name					
*Surname					
*Email (this won't be published)					
*Scope					
International					
© Local					
National					
Regional					
*Level of governance					
Local Authority					
Local Agency					
*Level of governance					
Parliament					
Authority					
Agency					
*Organisation name					
255 character(s) maximum					
*Organisation size					
Micro (1 to 9 employees)					
Small (10 to 49 employees)					

Medium (50 to 249 employees)Large (250 or more)									
Transparency register number 255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.									
* Country of origin Please add your country of origin	gin,	or that of your organisation	on.						
This list does not represent the of the entities mentioned. It is		·			o th	e legal status or policy			
Afghanistan		Djibouti	0	Libya		Saint Martin			
Åland Islands	0	Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and			
						Miquelon			
Albania	0	Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent			
		Republic				and the			
						Grenadines			
Algeria	0	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa			
American Samoa	0	Egypt	0	Macau		San Marino			
Andorra	0	El Salvador	0	Madagascar	0	São Tomé and			
						Príncipe			
Angola	0	Equatorial Guine	a [©]	Malawi	0	Saudi Arabia			
Anguilla	0	Eritrea	0	Malaysia	0	Senegal			
Antarctica	0	Estonia	0	Maldives		Serbia			
Antigua and Barbuda	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles			
Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone			
Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore			
Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten			
Australia		Fiji	0	Mauritania		Slovakia			
Austria		Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia			
Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands			
© Rahamas	0	French Guiana	0	Mexico	0	Somalia			

French Polynesia Micronesia

Bahrain

0

South Africa

	Bangladesh		French Southern and Antarctic Lands		Moldova		South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
0	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
0	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
0	Belize	0	Ghana	0	Montserrat	0	Sri Lanka
	Benin	0	Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
	Bermuda	0	Greece	0	Mozambique	0	Suriname
	Bhutan	0	Greenland		Myanmar/Burma	0	Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
	Bolivia	0	Grenada		Namibia	0	Sweden
	Bonaire Saint	0	Guadeloupe		Nauru		Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and	0	Guam	0	Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
	Brazil	0	Guinea		New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian	0	Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua	0	Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria		Heard Island and		Niue		Togo
			McDonald Islands	8			
	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island		Tokelau
	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern		Tonga
		<u></u>		0	Mariana Islands		+ · · · · · ·
	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea		Trinidad and
(C)	Comorcon	(A)	loolond	(C)	North Manadaria	(C)	Tobago
0	Cameroon	0	Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada	0	India	<u></u>	Norway	<u></u>	Turkey

Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman Turkmenistan	
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan Turks and	
•		Caicos Islands	
Central African	Iraq	Palau Tuvalu	
Republic	·		
Chad	Ireland	Palestine Uganda	
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama Ukraine	
China	Israel	Papua New United Arab	
		Guinea Emirates	
Christmas Island	ltaly	Paraguay United Kingdom	1
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru United States	
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines United States	
Islands		Minor Outlying	
		Islands	
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands Uruguay	
Comoros	Jordan	Poland US Virgin Island	sk
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal Uzbekistan	
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico Vanuatu	
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar Vatican City	
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion Venezuela	
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania Vietnam	
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia Wallis and	
		Futuna	
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda Western Sahara	а
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy Yemen	
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia	
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe	
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

^{*}Please select the option(s) that best describe(s) your experience with the European Union Research and Innovation programmes:

	I/my organisation has participated/is currently participating in at least one
	project funded by Horizon 2020 (2014 – 2020)
	I/my organisation has participated/is currently participating in at least one
	project funded by Horizon Europe (2021 – 2027)
	I/my organisation has proposed project(s) to receive funding from Horizon
	2020 (2014 – 2020) but was unsuccessful .
	I/my organisation has proposed project(s) to receive funding from Horizon
	Europe (2021 - 2027) but was unsuccessful .
	I/my organisation supports other entities that apply for / participate in the EU
	R&I Framework Programmes
	I/my organisation is interested in research and innovation but did not apply
	so far for EU funding under the European Research and Innovation
	Programme
	None of the above
Ple:	ase specify your experience with the EU R&I programmes.
	ase specify your experience with the Lo Har programmes.

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

Public

Your name, the type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published.

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

- I agree with the personal data protection provisions
- *Which part of the survey would you like to fill in?

between 1 and 3 choices

- Performance of the past programme Horizon 2020 (input for the Horizon 2020 ex-post evaluation) Performance of Horizon Europe (input for the Horizon Europe interim evaluation)
- Looking into the R&I future priorities 2025-2027 (input for the Horizon Europe Strategic Plan)
- * In which of the following areas of Horizon 2020 are you or your organisation mainly active / interested in? Please select all that apply (Horizon 2020 structure):

petween 1 and 21 choices	
Frontier research: European	Research Council
Multidisciplinary research on	future technologies: Future and Emerging
Technologies and the Europe	ean Innovation Council Pilot Pathfinder
Researcher training, career of	development and mobility: Marie Skłodowska-
Curie Actions	
European Research Infrastru	ictures
Leadership in Enabling and I	ndustrial Technologies

	InnovFin)
	Innovation support for SMEs (including SME Instrument, the European
	Innovation Council Pilot Accelerator)
	Support to accelerate the market uptake of innovations: Fast Track to
	Innovation
	Entrepreneurial innovation & training: European Institute for Innovation and
	Technology
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Health, demographic change and well-being
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Food security, sustainable agriculture and
	forestry, marine, maritime and inland water research, and the bioeconomy
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Secure, clean and efficient energy
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Smart, green and integrated transport
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Climate action, environment, resource
	efficiency and raw materials
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Europe in a changing world – Inclusive,
	innovative and reflective societies
	R&I to tackle societal challenges: Secure societies – Protecting freedom and
	security of Europe and its citizens
	Spreading Excellence and Widening Participation
	Cooperation between science and society: Science with and for Society
	None of the above
	All of the above
* In wh	nich of the following areas of Horizon Europe are you or your organisation
	ly active / interested in? Please select all that apply (Horizon Europe structure):
	Frontier research: European Research Council
	Researcher training, career development and mobility: Marie Skłodowska-
	Curie Actions
	European Research Infrastructures
	R&I to tackle global challenges: Health (Cluster 1)
	R&I to tackle global challenges: Culture, creativity and inclusive society
	(Cluster 2)
	R&I to tackle global challenges: Civil security for society (Cluster 3)
	R&I to tackle global challenges: Digital, industry and space (Cluster 4)
	R&I to tackle global challenges: Climate, energy and mobility (Cluster 5)

R&I to tackle global challenges: Food, bioeconomy, natural resources,
agriculture and environment (Cluster 6)
Developing and scaling up of breakthrough technologies and game changing
innovations: European Innovation Council
Support systems for innovation: European Innovation Ecosystems
Entrepreneurial innovation & training: European Institute of Innovation and
Technology
Widening participation & strengthening the European Research Area
(widening component)
☐ Widening participation & strenghtening the European Research Area (ERA
component)
None of the above

SECTION B. PERFORMANCE OF THE PAST PROGRAMME HORIZON 2020 (INPUT FOR THE HORIZON 2020 EX-POST EVALUATION)

Your experience with Horizon 2020

According to you, what are the main benefits of participating in Horizon 2020 compared to national and/or regional R&I programmes in EU Member States or Associated countries? Select maximum 3 answers

Associated countries? Select maximum 3 answers
at most 3 choice(s)
$^{\square}$ Improved cooperation with partners from other countries (within the EU and
beyond)
Improved excellence in research and innovation (e.g., more high impact
publications and patents)
Improved international visibility
Strengthened critical mass to address pan-European challenges
Strengthened cooperation between academia and the private sector
Strengthened interdisciplinary cooperation
Additional risk capital provided to companies
Possibility to finance projects which otherwise could not be supported at
national and/or regional level
Reimbursement of costs at a higher level than in national and/or regional
research and innovation programmes
Horizon 2020 covered a topic not covered by national and regional R&I support

regional schemes
Horizon 2020 supported the development of emerging technologies
Horizon 2020 helped to bring innovations to the market
There are no additional benefits compared to national / regional support
In your view, what are the main reasons that may have prevented potential
beneficiaries from participating in Horizon 2020? Select maximum 3 answers. at most 3 choice(s)
Inadequate knowledge of the EU research and innovation framework programme
Limited attractiveness compared to other European or international programmes
Limited attractiveness compared to other national or regional programmes
The Horizon 2020 application process is cumbersome
The Horizon 2020 project implementation rules are cumbersome
Success rates in Horizon 2020 are too low to be worth applying
Difficulties in finding project partners
Lack of a relevant area/topic for my research interests
Concerns about sharing valuable knowledge with partners
Limited financial/human resources to prepare a proposal
Lack of expertise
Other
If other, please specify.
Priorities and Objectives

P

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the objectives of Horizon 2020?

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion

Horizon 2020 helped develop and implement EU policies (such as the "Europe 2020" strategy)	©	©		©	©	•
Horizon 2020 supported the development of the European Research Area	•	•	•	0	•	•
Horizon 2020 fostered excellent science	©	•	•	•	•	•
Horizon 2020 spread excellence and widened participation in R&I	©	©	©	©	©	•
Horizon 2020 supported cooperation between science and society	•	•	•	•	•	•

To what extent was Horizon 2020 flexible enough to respond to unforeseen emergencies such as the COVID-19 pandemic, Zika, etc.

- Not at all
- Little
- Somewhat
- To a great extent
- I do not know / No opinion

Implementation and administrative procedures

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the calls for proposals under Horizon 2020?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
The communication activities to attract applicants were adequate	©	•	•	•	©	•
Gender equality as a cross- cutting issue was effectively implemented	•	•	•	•	•	•
Priority setting (via the work programmes) was adequate	©	©	©	©	©	•
The descriptions of the calls for proposals were clear	©	©	©	0	©	•
There was a good balance between small and large projects	©	©	©	©	©	©
Calls for proposals sufficiently took Social Sciences and Humanities into account	•	•	•	•	•	•

The calls for proposals were published frequently enough	©	©	©	©	•	•
Finding the right call for my proposal was easy	•	•	•	0	•	•

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning proposal evaluation under Horizon 2020?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
The time taken to evaluate proposals was adequate	•	©	•	0	•	•
The feedback received from the evaluation was clear and informative	©	©	©	•	©	•
The time taken to sign the grant agreement was adequate	0	0	0	0	©	•

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the project implementation under Horizon 2020?

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion

Our organisation's usual accounting practices were accepted	•	•	•	•	•	•
The cost calculation rules were clear	0	0	0	0	•	•
The mechanisms for project monitoring and reporting were adequate	•	•	•	•	•	•
I am satisfied with the support received by the EC services (including agencies) during grant preparation and implementation	•	•	•	•	•	•
The balance between checking and trust in beneficiaries was adequate	©	©	•	©	©	•

Based on your experience, to what extent did the following simplification measures, introduced in Horizon 2020, help reduce your administrative burden?

	Not at all	Very little	Administrative burden remained the same	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Using a new funding model						

with a single reimbursement rate and a single flat rate	•	0	©	©	©	0
Further use of the two-stage application process (for some programme parts)	•	•	•	•	©	•
Alignment of funding rules applicable to other EU funds	•	0	•	•	0	•
Using an electronic-only grant management system	0	•	•	•	0	•
Harmonisation of processes and guidance documents across the programme	•	•	•	•	0	•
Selected projects are funded as proposed (no negotiation during grant preparation)	•	•	•	•	©	•

The effort needed to participate in Horizon 2020 compared to the 7th Framework Programme was:

at 11103t 1 0110100(3)	at	most	1	choice	(S
------------------------	----	------	---	--------	----

Greater

Similar

Lower					
I do not know / No opinion					
The effort needed to participate in	Horizon 2	020 compa	ared to th	at of other	research
and innovation programmes was:		•			
at most 1 choice(s)					
Greater					
Similar					
Lower					
I do not know / No opinion					
Approximately, how much time did overall? Please indicate the total r	• •			Horizon 20	20 take
Less than 10 person-days					
More than 10 but below 20 p	erson-day	S			
More than 20 but below 30 p	erson-day	S			
More than 30 but below 40 p	erson-day	S			
More than 40 but below 50 p	erson-day	S			
More than 50 but below 60 p	erson-day	S			
More than 60 but below 100	_				
■ More than 100 person-days	'				
•					
Approximately, how much time do	es your pr	oject spend	d on mar	naging	
participation in Horizon 2020?					
Please indicate the total number	of person	ı -days spei	nt overal	l on manag	ing
participation?					
Approximately [X] person-days in total for a pro-	oject duration	of [X] months.			
Horizon 2020 results					
For the period 2018-2020, a numb	per of nove	elties were i	introduce	ed to increa	se the
programme's impact. To what exte					
	- 2.2 , 2.	-g		g 238.3.	l do
	Disagree	Neither agree	Agree		not

Disagree

Agree

	Strongly disagree		nor disagree		Strongly Agree	know / No opinion
Work programmes were formulated more clearly than in the past	©	©	©	0	•	•
The pilot on lump sum funding simplified participation in the programme	•	©	©	©	©	•
The introduction of the European Innovation Council Pilot stepped up the support for breakthrough innovations	©	•	•	•	•	•
The identification of a limited number of Focus Areas rationalised the innovation funding landscape	©	•	•	•	•	•
The launch of international flagship initiatives on dedicated topics (e.g., targeted actions with Africa on food	©	•	•	•	•	•

and sustainable agriculture) boosted international cooperation						
The increase of research and innovation investments for sustainable development and climate action (e.g., the Green Deal call for proposals) improved the impact of the programme	•	•	•	•	•	•
The support for open sharing of data and open access to publications was reinforced	©	©	©	•	©	•
Increased focus on Digitalisation improved the impact of the programme	©	©	©	0	©	•
The research and innovation investment to fight the COVID-19 pandemic (the COVID-19 call for proposals) improved the impact of the programme.	•	•	•	•	•	•

In your opinion, to what extent have public-private and public-public Partnerships supported by Horizon 2020 been more effective, compared to regular collaborative research in achieving impact for science, the economy and/or society?

at most 1 cnoice(s)
Not at all
To a limited extent
Neutral
Somewhat
To a great extent
I do not know / No opinion

In your view, to what extent did Horizon 2020 produce the following scientific effects?

	Not at all	A little	Neutral	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Scientific breakthroughs, higher risk research and research in emerging areas of science and technology	0	0	•	•	0	•
Improving the skills of Europe's researchers and facilitating the emergence of new researchers	0	0	•	•	0	0
Facilitating cross-sector and cross-border mobility of researchers	0	0	0	•	0	0
Reinforced physical and knowledge infrastructures and facilities across the EU	0	•	0	•	0	•

Building R&I capacity in EU countries lagging behind	0	0	0	©	©	0
Making Europe more attractive for world class researchers from abroad	0	0	•	•	•	•

In your view, to what extent did Horizon 2020 produce the following economic effects?

	Not at all	A little	Neutral	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Opening up new markets for H2020 participants	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supporting the internationalisation of participating SMEs	0	0	0	•	0	0
Increased availability of equity finance for R&D and innovation-driven companies	©	0	•	•	©	0
Fostering market uptake of European innovations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Improved time-to-market for European manufacturers and service providers	0	0	•	•	0	0
Fostering sustainability across the entire product-service lifecycle	0	0	0	•	0	0
Digitalising the industry and the economy	0	0	0	0	0	0

Producing new and better product-service offerings addressing customer needs	0	©	•	•	0	•
Creating smart global value chains that enable value capture in Europe	0	0	•	•	•	•
Increased availability of debt	0	0	0	0	0	0

In your view, to what extent did Horizon 2020 produce the following societal effects?

	Not at all	A little	Neutral	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No answer
Improving the lifelong health and well-being of all	0	0	0	0	0	0
Securing sufficient supplies of safe, healthy and high-quality food	0	0	0	•	0	•
Supporting the transition to a reliable, affordable, publicly accepted, sustainable and competitive energy system	•	•	•	•	•	•
Fostering smart, green and integrated transport	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fostering a greater understanding of Europe, providing solutions and supporting inclusive, innovative and reflective European societies	•	•	•	•	•	•

Fostering secure and inclusive European societies while contributing to upholding fundamental rights	©	©	•	©	©	•
Engaging with wider society to build effective cooperation between science and society	0	•	•	•	0	•

How did the following EU programmes work in synergy (complement and reinforce) Horizon 2020?

	No synergies exploited	Few synergies exploited	Several synergies exploited	Synergies fully exploited	I do not know / No opinion
European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF)	0	0	0	0	0
European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI)	•	•	•	•	0
Programme for Competitiveness of Enterprises and small and medium- sized enterprises (COSME)	•	©	•	©	•
Erasmus+	0	0	0	0	0
LIFE - Programme for Environment and Climate Action	0	0	0	0	0
Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	•	•	©	•	0

Connecting Europe	0	0	0	0	0
Facility (CEF)					

To what extent have the following initiatives helped disseminate, exploit and access research and innovation results?

	Not at all	A little	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Scientific publication(s)	0	0	0	0	0
Patent filing	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon Dashboard	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon Results Booster	0	0	0	•	0
Horizon Results Platform	0	0	0	0	0
CORDIS	0	0	0	0	©
Innovation Radar	0	0	0	0	©
IPR Helpdesk	0	0	0	0	©
Project website	0	0	0	0	©
Social media	0	0	0	0	©
Workshops, events, etc.	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	©

lf (If other, please specify.	

What (would have) happened to your research and innovation project(s), without European-level funding through Horizon 2020?

My project(s) would be:

	changes in scope, duration or level of ambition
	Funded by a private source at national or regional level, without any major
	changes in scope, duration or level of ambition
	Funded (by either a public or private source), but with a substantial reduction
	in scope, duration or level of ambition
	No funding secured (by either a public or private source), but would have
	taken place later
	No funding secured, and would not have taken place at all
	ldeta Likely funded, at least to some extent, through other sources but without/ with ${f I}$
	educed cooperation with partners from other countries
	Likely funded, at least to some extent, through other sources but without/ with
	reduced interdisciplinary cooperation
	Likely funded, at least to some extent, through other sources but with reduced
	international visibility of research and/or research team
	Funded by another EU programme , without any major changes in scope,
Г	duration or level of ambition
	Another scenario.
If o	ther, please specify.
Wh	nat has happened to your project since the end of the grant?
	The project results have contributed to opening a new stream of research in
	the institution
	The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects
	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services)
	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or
	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or services
]]]]	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or services No further activities
	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or services
If o	 The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or services No further activities
If o	The project results have been/will be used in other (follow-up) projects The project results have been/will be commercialised (i.e., used to create new products and/or services) The project results have been/will be used to improve available products or services No further activities Other

SECTION C. PERFORMANCE OF HORIZON EUROPE (INPUT FOR THE HORIZON EUROPE INTERIM EVALUATION)

Your experience with Horizon Europe

According to you, what are the main benefits of participating in Horizon Europe compared to national and/or regional R&I programmes in EU Member States or Associated countries? Select maximum 3 answers

at most 3 choice(s)
Improved cooperation with partners from other countries (within the EU and beyond)
Improved excellence in research and innovation (e.g., more high impact
publications and patents)
Improved international visibility
Strengthened critical mass to address pan-European challenges
Strengthened cooperation between academia and the private sector
Strengthened interdisciplinary cooperation
Additional risk capital provided to companies
Possibility to finance projects which otherwise could not be supported at national and/or regional level
Reimbursement of costs at a higher level than in national and/or regional research and innovation programmes
Horizon Europe covered a topic not covered by national and regional R&I support
Horizon Europe provided financial means at a scale not provided in national and regional schemes
 Horizon Europe supported the development of emerging technologies Horizon Europe helped to bring innovations to the market
There are no additional benefits compared to national / regional support
In your view, what are the main reasons that may have prevented potential
beneficiaries from participating in Horizon Europe? Select maximum 3 answers.
at most 3 choice(s)
Inadequate knowledge of the EU research and innovation framework programme
Limited attractiveness compared to other European or international
programmes

	Limited attractiveness compared to other national or regional programmes
	The Horizon Europe application process is cumbersome
	The Horizon Europe project implementation rules are cumbersome
	Success rates in Horizon Europe are too low to be worth applying
	Difficulties in finding project partners
	Lack of a relevant area/topic for my research interests
	Concerns about sharing valuable knowledge with partners
	Limited financial/human resources to prepare a proposal
	Lack of expertise
	Other
lf	other, please specify.

Priorities and Objectives

In your view, to what extent do the following changes, introduced in Horizon Europe, contribute to strengthening the impact of European research and innovation?

	Not at all	A little	Does not make a difference	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Definition of the future R&I priorities through a co-creation process with stakeholders	0	0	0	•	0	•
Development of several multi-annual Strategic Plans	0	0	0	•	0	•
Creation of a pillar dedicated to innovation, including the European Innovation Council	•	•	•	•	•	•
Innovation Council						

Creation of "EU Missions"	0	0	©	0	0	0
Creation of a pillar grouping societal and industrial issues	0	0	•	•	0	•
New approach to Partnerships (co- programmed, co- funded and institutionalised)	0	0	•	•	0	•
Implementation of an open science policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Introduction of the impact logic in the formulation of topics	0	0	0	•	0	•

Implementation and administrative procedures

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the calls for proposals under Horizon Europe?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
The rules of participation are clear	•	•	•	0	•	•
The descriptions of the calls for proposals are clear	©	©	©	0	•	•
Finding the right call for my proposal is easy	•	•	•	0	•	•

The calls for proposals are frequent enough	•	•	•	•	•	0
There is an adequate mix of calls for proposals addressing specific topics (top-down) and calls for proposals without a predefined topic (bottom-up)	©	©	•	•	•	
The strengthened gender equality provisions bear potential to promote gender equality across R&I organisations and activities	•	•	•	•	•	
The standard application form facilitates the application	•	•	•	0	•	0
The IT tool is user-friendly	•	0	•	0	•	0
The reduced page limit for proposals reduces the burden on applicants	•	•	•	0	•	•
The feedback received on						

rejected					
proposals and	0	0	0		0
the re-					
submissions					
rules are clear					

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning proposal evaluation under Horizon Europe?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
The time taken to evaluate proposals is adequate	©	•	•	0	•	•
The feedback received from the evaluation is clear and informative	©	•	•	0	•	•
The time taken to sign the grant agreement is adequate	•	•	•	©	©	0

To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the project implementation under Horizon Europe?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
Our organisation' s usual	©	©	©	•	•	•

accounting practices were accepted						
The cost calculation rules are clear	0	0	0	0	0	0
The mechanisms for project monitoring and reporting are adequate	•	•	©	•	©	•
I am satisfied with the support received by the EC services (including agencies) during grant preparation and implementation	©	•		©		•
The standard templates facilitate project reporting	•	0	•	0	©	•
The extension of the single audit principle reduces the burden on beneficiaries	©	©	©	•	©	•
The use of lump sum funding reduces the burden on beneficiaries	•	•	•	0	•	•

What is your level of satisfaction with the way the European Commission implements the following types of support under Horizon Europe?

	Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	I do not know / I have not used it
Grants for collaborative projects	•	0	0	0	0	0
Grants for single beneficiary projects	•	©	©	©	0	0
Blended finance (grants and equity support)	©	©	0	©	0	0
Public procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prizes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Co-funding (e.g., European Partnerships)	•	©	0	©	0	0
Technical assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Support for networking	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training and expert advice	0	0	0	0	0	0

EU Missions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Do you think the disuitable for your not at all Not at all Scarcely Neutral Largely Perfectly I do not know	eeds?		made availa	able by Hor	izon Europ	e are
Why? What else w	·		izon Europe	?		
The effort needed at most 1 choice(s) Greater Similar Lower I do not know			Europe con	npared to H	lorizon 202	20 is:
The effort needed international reseat at most 1 choice(s) Greater Similar Lower I do not know	arch and inn	ovation prog	•	•	nat of other	similar
Approximately, ho take overall? Plead at most 1 choice(s) Less than 10 More than 10	se indicate i person-day	the total num	ber of perso		orizon Euro	ope
More than 20) but below	30 person-da	ays			
More than 30More than 40		•	•			

More than 50 but below 60 person-days
More than 60 but below 100 person
More than 100 person-days
Approximately, how much time does your project spend on managing participation in Horizon 2020?
Please indicate the total number of person-days spent overall on managing
participation?
Approximately [X] person-days in total for a project duration of [X] months.
How can the administrative burden for applicants and participants be further
reduced (regarding application process, reporting requirements, cost calculation
etc.)?
Text of 1 to 2000 characters will be accepted

Progress towards achieving Horizon Europe objectives

To what extent do you agree that Horizon Europe is on track to deliver on the following objectives?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
Develop, promote and advance scientific excellence	•	•	•	0	•	•
Support the creation and diffusion of high-quality fundamental or applied knowledge,	•	•	•	•	•	•

skills, technologies and innovations						
Support training and mobility of researchers and attract talents	•	•	•	0	©	0
Strengthen the impact of R&I in developing, supporting and implementing Union policies	•	•	•	•	•	•
Support the access to and uptake of innovative solutions in European industry and in society to address global challenges, including climate change and the SDGs	•	©	•	•	•	•
Foster all forms of innovation, including breakthrough innovation and social innovation	©	©	©	0	©	•
Facilitate technological development, demonstration and knowledge and technology transfer	•	•	•	•	•	•

Strengthen deployment and exploitation of innovative solutions	©	©	©	©	©	•
Strengthen and increase the impact and attractiveness of the European Research Area	•	•	•	•	•	•
Fostering excellence based participation from all Member States, including low R&I performing countries	•	•	•	•	©	•

To what extent do you agree that the implementation so far of EU Missions is on track to deliver on their objectives?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / no opinion
Adaptation to climate change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prevention, cure and solutions for cancer	0	•	•	•	•	•
Restoring healthy oceans, seas, coastal and inland waters	©	©	•	•	•	•

Climate-neutral and smart cities	©	©	©	0	©	0
A Soil Deal for Europe	0	0	0	0	0	0

lf y	you	would	like	to	elaborate,	please	add	а	comment	below.
------	-----	-------	------	----	------------	--------	-----	---	---------	--------

- 1	
- 1	

Compared to Horizon 2020, the use of public-public and public-private partnerships has been streamlined with fewer but more impact-oriented partnerships, which can take three forms: co-funded, co-programmed and institutionalised European Partnerships. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	I do not know / No opinion
The rationalisation of European Partnerships has allowed additional public and private investments in research and innovation to be leveraged	•	•	•	•	•	
The rationalisation of European Partnerships has led to delivering more solutions for the benefits of society, the	•	•		©	•	

environment			
and the			
economy			

In your opinion, to what extent are European Partnerships and EU Missions supported by Horizon Europe effective compared to regular collaborative research projects in achieving Horizon Europe's objectives?

	Not at all	A little	Neutral	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
European Partnerships	©	0	0	•	0	0
EU Missions	0	0	0	0	0	0

According to you, to what extent is your research or innovation project impacted by the exceptional limitations on participation in Horizon Europe by non-EU legal entities (justified to safeguard the Union's strategic assets, interests, autonomy or security)?

- Not at all
- A little
- Somewhat
- To a great extent
- I do not know / No opinion

How did the following EU programmes work in synergy (complement and reinforce) Horizon Europe?

	No synergies exploited	Few synergies exploited	Several synergies exploited	Synergies fully exploited	I do not know / No opinion
Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	•	•	•	•	0
LIFE - Programme for Environment and Climate Action	•	•	0	•	0

European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)	©	©	©	©	•
Creative Europe Programme	0	0	0	0	0
European Social Fund Plus (ESF)+	0	0	0	0	0
Erasmus +	0	0	0	0	0
European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)	0	0	•	0	0
Just Transition Mechanism	0	0	•	0	0
Recovery and Resilience Facility	0	0	0	0	0
EU4Health Programme	0	0	0	0	0
Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)	0	0	0	0	0
Digital Europe Programme (DEP)	0	0	0	0	0
Single Market Programme	0	0	0	0	0
InvestEU	0	0	0	0	0
Innovation Fund under the Emission Trading Scheme	0	•	•	0	0
Union Space Programme	0	0	0	©	0
Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI)	©	©	©	©	©

and the Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance (IPA III)					
Internal Security Fund (ISF)	0	0	0	0	0
Border Management and Visa Instrument (BMVI)	•	•	©	•	•
European Defence Fund	0	0	0	0	0
Euratom Research and Training Programme	•	0	0	•	•

To what extent have the following initiatives helped disseminate, exploit and access research and innovation results?

	Not at all	A little	Somewhat	To a great extent	I do not know / No opinion
Scientific publication(s)	0	0	0	0	0
Patent filing	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon Dashboard	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon Results Booster	0	0	0	0	0
Horizon Results Platform	0	0	0	0	0
CORDIS	0	0	0	0	0
Innovation Radar	0	0	0	0	0
IPR Helpdesk	0	0	0	0	0
Project website	0	0	0	0	0
Social media	0	0	0	0	0
Workshops, events, etc.	0	0	0	0	0
Open science activities for early sharing of results	0	0	0	0	0

If of	ther, please specify.					
Wh	at (would have) happened to y	our res	earch a	and innovation	on project(s), without
Eur	opean-level funding through H	orizon	Europe	?		
Му	project(s) would be:					
	Funded by a public source a	at natio	nal or r	egional leve	l, without a	any major
	changes in scope, duration o	r level	of amb	ition		
	Funded by a private source			•	el, without	any major
li li	changes in scope, duration of					
	Funded (by either a public or	-		e), but with a	a substan	tial reduction
li.	in scope, duration or level				.	
	No funding secured (by either	er a pub	olic or p	rivate sourc	e), but wo	uld have
F	taken place later					
E E	No funding secured, and wor			-		1.1 .7 1.1
	Likely funded, at least to son			•		without/ with r
Г	educed cooperation with p					1.1 .7 1.1
	Likely funded, at least to son reduced interdisciplinary co			ugh other so	ources but	without/ with
	Likely funded, at least to son	-		uah othar sa	ources but	with reduced
	international visibility of re			•		With reduced
	Funded by another EU prog					in scone
	duration or level of ambition	,ı alılılı	c, with	out arry majo	or criariges	ш зооро,
	Another scenario.					
If o	ther, please specify.					

FOR THE HORIZON EUROPE STRATEGIC PLAN 2025 - 2027)

Horizon Standardization

Booster

The Horizon Europe strategic plan defines the strategic orientations for research and innovation investments for a period of up to four years.

It focuses on the six thematic Clusters of Horizon Europe, which cover the following areas respectively:

- Health (Cluster 1)
- Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society (Cluster 2)
- Civil Security for Society (Cluster 3)
- Digital, Industry and Space (Cluster 4)
- Climate, Energy and Mobility (Cluster 5)
- Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment (Cluster 6)

The <u>first Horizon Europe strategic plan</u> covers the period 2021-2024. You can find more information about the Strategic Plan 2021-2024 in the following <u>factsheet.</u>

The societal challenges

lame the most important R&I solution that would help you in your life over the r	next
0 years.	

In the next **ten years**, which societal challenges should be the focus of EU investments for research and innovation activities?

	Not a priority	Low	Medium priority	High priority	Essential	l do not know
Climate change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loss of biodiversity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy supply	0	0	0	0	0	0
Migration flows	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social justice	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strained healthcare systems and ageing European population	0	0	0	0	0	0
Global competition for technological leadership	0	0	0	0	0	0

Global instability and EU societal preparedness for large-scale disruptions	©	•	•	©	•	•
Other	0	0	0	0	0	©

lf	If other, please specify.					

In the next **three years**, which societal challenges should be the focus of EU investments for research and innovation activities?

	Not a priority	Low	Medium priority	High priority	Essential	l do not know
Climate change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loss of biodiversity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy supply	0	0	0	0	0	0
Migration flows	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social justice	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strained healthcare systems and ageing European population	0	0	0	0	0	0
Global competition for technological leadership	0	0	0	0	0	0
Global instability and EU societal preparedness for large-scale disruptions	0	0	©	0	©	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

other, please specify.						

PΙ	Please feel free to add further comments to your selection.					

In your opinion, which Horizon Europe Cluster(s) contributes(s) to addressing the societal challenges?

	Cluster 1 - Health	Cluster 2 - Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society	Cluster 3 - Civil security for society	Cluster 4 - Digital, Industry and Space	Cluster 5 - Climate, Energy and Mobility	Cluster 6 - Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment
Climate change						
Energy supply						
Migration flows						
Social justice						
Loss of biodiversity						
Strained healthcare systems and ageing European population						

Global competition for technological leadership			
Global instability and EU societal preparedness for large- scale disruptions			
Other			

If other, please specify.	
Are there European scientific areas of strengths or weaknesses which shou prioritised in Horizon Europe to keep Europe at the forefront of international scientific competition?	

Expected impacts across the six Clusters

In your view, for which expected impacts under Cluster 1 (Health) is EU support more impactful than national and/or regional support alone?

	Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	I do not know / No opinion
Staying healthy in a rapidly changing society	0	•	•	•	©	•
Living and working in a health- promoting environment	0	•	•	•	©	•
Tackling diseases and reducing their burden	0	0	•	•	0	•
Ensuring access to innovative,						

sustainable & high-quality health care	0	0	©	©	©	0
Unlocking the full potential of new tools, technologies and digital solutions for a healthy society	0	•	•	•	•	•
Maintaining an innovative, sustainable and globally competitive health-related industry	0	•	•	•	•	•

Please	feel	free t	to s	pecify	anv	other	area	missing	from	the	list	above	
				P	∽,	O t O .	a. ca		•			4.00.0	•

In your view, for which expected impacts under Cluster 2 (Culture, creativity and inclusive society) is EU support more impactful than national and/or regional support alone?

	Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	I do not know / No opinion
Democratic governance is reinvigorated by improving the accountability, transparency, effectiveness and trustworthiness	•	•	©	•	•	•

of rule-of-law based institutions and policies						
Full potential of cultural heritage, arts and cultural and creative sectors	•	•	•	•	•	•
Social and economic resilience and sustainability	0	•	•	•	•	•
Inclusive growth through evidence- based policies for employment, education, social fairness and inequalities, including in response to the socio- economic challenges due to the COVID-19 pandemic						

- 1	rooponoo to						
	response to						
	the socio-						
	economic						
	challenges						
	due to the						
	COVID-19						
	pandemic						
In y	your view, for wh	ich exp	ected imp	acts under C	luster 3 (Civil	security for	society)
-							
•	EU support more	impact	ful than na	ational and/or	regional sup	port alone?	
•	EU support more	impact	ful than na	ational and/o	regional sup	port alone?	

	Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	I do not know / No opinion
Enhanced disaster risk reduction including through better societal preparedness	0	•	•	•	©	•
Improved air /land/sea border management and maritime security	0	•	•	•	©	•
Tackling crime and terrorism, and enhancing resilience of infrastructures	0	•	•	•	©	•
Increased cybersecurity and a more secure online environment	0	©	©	©	©	•

Ple	ease feel free to s	pecify a	any other	area missing	from the list	above.	
	your view for whic ace) is EU suppo	•	•		` •	•	
							l do
							not
			Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	

	Not at all					know / No opinion
Global leadership in clean & climate-neutral industrial value chains, circular economy and climate-neutral digital systems and infrastructures	•	•	•	•	•	•
Industrial leadership and increased autonomy in key strategic value chains with security of supply in raw materials	©	©	©	©	©	©
Globally attractive, secure and dynamic data- agile economy	0	•	•	•	©	•
Open strategic autonomy in digital technologies and in future emerging enabling technologies	•		•			
Open strategic autonomy in						

developing, deploying and using global space-based infrastructures, services, applications and data	•	•	•	•	•
A human- centred and ethical development of digital and industrial technologies	•	•		•	

Ple	Please feel free to specify any other area missing from the list above.									

In your view, for which expected impacts under Cluster 5 (Climate, energy and mobility) is EU support more impactful than national and/or regional support alone?

	Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	I do not know / No opinion
Clean and sustainable transition of the energy and transport system	0	•	•	•	•	•
Transition to a climate-neutral and resilient society and economy	0	•	•	•	•	•
Efficient, clean, sustainable, secure, and competitive energy supply	•	•	•	•	•	•

Efficient and sustainable use of energy	0	0	•	•	•	0
Climate- neutral and environmental- friendly mobility	0	•	•	•	•	•
Safe, seamless, smart, inclusive, resilient, climate neutral and sustainable mobility systems	•	•	•	•	•	

rieas	lease leer free to specify arry other area missing from the list above.									

In your view, for which expected impacts under Cluster 6 (Food, bioeconomy, natural resources, agriculture and environment) is EU support more impactful than national and/or regional support alone?

	Not at all	Slightly	Somewhat	Moderately	Extremely	I do not know/ No opinion
Climate neutrality and adaptation to climate change	0	•	•	•	©	•
Preservation and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems	0	•	•	•	©	•
Sustainable and circular management of natural resources; tackling pollution; bioeconomy	•	©	•	•	•	•
Food and nutrition security for all from sustainable food systems from farm to fork	•	•	•	•	•	•

Balanced development of rural, coastal and urban areas	0	•	•	•	•	•
Innovative governance models enabling sustainability, environmental observation	•	•	•	•	•	•

Please	e feel free to specify any	other area missing	g from the list abov	/e.

How familiar are you with the strategic planning process for Horizon Europe?

- Not at all
- Slightly
- Somewhat
- Moderately
- Extremely

Synergies and complementarities

Compared to the S<u>trategic Plan 2021-2024</u>, do you see any unexploited potential for complementarities between different clusters?

	Cluster 1 - Health	Cluster 2 - Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society	Cluster 3 - Civil security for society	Cluster 4 - Digital, Industry and Space	Cluster 5 - Climate, Energy and Mobility	Clus Fo Bioeca Nat Reso Agric aa Envira
Cluster 1 - Health						

Cluster 2 - Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society			
Cluster 3 - Civil security for society			
Cluster 4 - Digital, Industry and Space			
Cluster 5 - Climate, Energy and Mobility			
Cluster 6 - Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment			

Do you see any unexploited potential for complementarities between the six clusters and the other parts of Horizon Europe?

	Cluster 1 - Health	Cluster 2 - Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society	Cluster 3 - Civil security for society	Cluster 4 - Digital, Industry and Space	Cluster 5 - Climate, Energy and Mobility	Cluster 6 - Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment	I do not know
Pillar I - Marie Skłodowska- Curie Actions (MSCA)							
Pillar I - Research Infrastructures							
Pillar I - The European Research Council (ERC)							

Pillar III - European Innovation Council				
Pillar III – European Innovation Ecosystems				
Pillar III – European Institute of Innovation and Technology				
Widening and ERA				
The Joint Research Centre				

Please elaborate.
To maximize the European innovation potential, Horizon Europe is designed to be implemented in synergy with other Union funding programmes. With which EU programme(s) could synergies be strengthened?
European Agricultural Guarantee Fund and the European Agricultural Fund for
Rural Development under the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)
European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)
European Social Fund Plus (ESF)+
European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)
EU4Health
Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)
Digital Europe Programme (DEP)
Single Market Programme
LIFE - Programme for Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)
Erasmus +
Union Space Programme
Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) and the Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance (IPA III)
Internal Security Fund (ISF)
Border Management and Visa Instrument (BMVI)
InvestEU
Innovation Fund under the Emission Trading Scheme
Just Transition Mechanism
Euratom Research and Training Programme
European Defence Fund
Creative Europe Programme
Recovery and Resilience Facility
I don't know
Please elaborate.

EU Missions, Euro What added value or regional and local p	do you think	that EU Mis	ssions can			ational,
The Strategic Plan programmed Europe Horizon Europe. In nitiatives (other pawhere a partnership Yes No	ean Partne the period 2 rtnerships, I	<u>rships</u> in vari 2025-2027, a Missions, clu	ious areas and apart fr sters etc.),	to reinford om other is there a	ce the impa Horizon Eu	rope
Please specify the partnership approa	` '	re more imp	acts could	be reache	ed through a	a
The Strategic Plan mplementation of I further elaborated f	Horizon Eur	ope. Should	any of thes			
						I do

	Not a priority	Low	Medium priority	High priority		know / No opinion
Gender equality and inclusiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social Sciences and Humanities	0	0	0	0	©	0
Ethics and integrity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open science practices	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dissemination and Exploitation (D&E), including links to education and training, market uptake and deployment				©	•	
Key Enabling Technologies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Social innovations	0	0	0	0	0	0
International cooperation	0	0	0	0	0	0
EU taxonomy	0	0	0	0	0	0

In addition to what has been addressed in the Strategic Plan 2021-2024, do you see any other cross-cutting themes that should be integrated in the Strategic Plan 2025-2027?

- 1	

Horizon Europe strategic planning process

The Strategic Plan 2021-2024 is organised around four Key Strategic Orientations (KSO) linked with the EU policy priorities. How easy is it to understand these KSOs?

	Very difficult	Difficult	Neutral	Easy	Very easy	I do not know / No opinion
Promoting an open strategic autonomy by leading the development of key digital, enabling and emerging technologies, sectors and value chains	•	•	•	•	•	•
Restoring Europe's ecosystems and biodiversity, and sustainably managed natural resources	©	•	•	•	•	•
Making Europe the first digitally enabled circular, climate-neutral and sustainable economy	0	0	0	©	0	0
Creating a more resilient, inclusive and democratic European society	0	0	0	0	0	0

Plea	Please elaborate.						

The Strategic Plan 2021-2024 is structured into:

- 4 four key strategic orientations
- 15 impact areas

 32 cluster specific expected impacts (each translated into a destination in the work programme)
In your opinion, how easy is it to understand this structure? Very difficult Difficult Neutral Easy Very easy I do not know / No opinion
How could the structure be improved (if needed)?
SECTION E. KEY LESSONS AND MESSAGES FOR THE FUTURE
What are your key lessons from the past and present European Framework Programmes for Research and Innovation and the messages you would like to pass on for the future of the programme? On the intervention modes and types of action 1500 character(s) maximum
On the identification of funding priorities
1500 character(s) maximum
On the implementation of the programme/projects and procedures 1500 character(s) maximum

O	ther
1	500 character(s) maximum

If you wish to upload a position paper concerning the ex-post evaluation of Horizon 2020, the mid-term evaluation of Horizon Europe and/or the 2025 - 2027 Strategic Plan, you can do so here. Maximum file size is 1 MB.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Please click the button below to submit your answers!